

1D non-LTE corrections for chemical abundance analyses of very metal-poor stars

L. Mashonkina, Yu Pakhomov, T. Sitnova, A. Smogorzhevskii, P. Jablonka,

V. Hill

► To cite this version:

L. Mashonkina, Yu Pakhomov, T. Sitnova, A. Smogorzhevskii, P. Jablonka, et al. 1D non-LTE corrections for chemical abundance analyses of very metal-poor stars. Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 2023, 524, pp.3526-3536. 10.1093/mnras/stad2114 . insu-04848719

HAL Id: insu-04848719 https://insu.hal.science/insu-04848719v1

Submitted on 20 Dec 2024 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

1D non-LTE corrections for chemical abundance analyses of very metal-poor stars

L. Mashonkina⁽⁰⁾,^{1,2}* Yu. Pakhomov,¹ T. Sitnova,¹ A. Smogorzhevskii,^{1,3} P. Jablonka^{4,5} and V. Hill⁶

¹Institute of Astronomy of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Pyatnitskaya st. 48, Moscow 119017, Russia

²Institute of Laser Physics, SB RAS, Ac. Lavrentieva ave. 13, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

³Faculty of Physics, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, Kolmogorova st. 1, 119234 Moscow, Russia

⁴Laboratoire d' Astrophysique, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Observatoire de Sauverny, CH-1290 Versoix, Switzerland

⁵GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, F-92125 Meudon Cedex, France

⁶Laboratoire Lagrange, Université de Nice Sophia-Antipolis, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, 06300, France

Accepted 2023 July 12. Received 2023 July 10; in original form 2023 May 8

ABSTRACT

Detailed chemical abundances of very metal-poor (VMP; [Fe/H] < -2) stars are important for better understanding the first stars, early star formation, and chemical enrichment of galaxies. Big on-going and coming high-resolution spectroscopic surveys provide a wealth of material that needs to be carefully analysed. For VMP stars, their elemental abundances should be derived based on the non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (non-LTE = NLTE) line formation because low metal abundances and low electron number density in the atmosphere produce the physical conditions favourable for the departures from LTE. The galactic archaeology research requires homogeneous determinations of chemical abundances. For this purpose, we present grids of the 1D-NLTE abundance corrections for lines of Na I, Mg I, Ca I, Ca II, Ti II, Fe I, Zn I, Zn II, Sr II, and Ba II in the range of atmospheric parameters that represent VMP stars on various evolutionary stages and cover effective temperatures from 4000 to 6500 K, surface gravities from logg = 0.5 to 5.0, and metallicities $-5.0 \le [Fe/H] \le -2.0$. The data is publicly available, and we provide the tools for interpolating in the grids online.

Key words: line: formation - stars: abundances - stars: atmospheres.

1 INTRODUCTION

Very metal-poor (VMP; $[Fe/H]^1 < -2$) stars are fossils of the early epochs of star formation in their parent galaxy. Their detailed elemental abundances are of extreme importance for understanding the nature of the first stars, uncovering the initial mass function, and the metallicity distribution function of the galaxy, testing the nuclesynthesis theory predictions and the galactic chemical evolution (GCE) models (Beers & Christlieb 2005; Frebel & Norris 2015; Woosley, Trimble & Thielemann 2019; Kobayashi, Karakas & Lugaro 2020). Since 1980th, the number of discovered VMP star candidates has grown tremendously thanks to the wide-angle spectroscopic and photometric surveys, such as HK (Beers, Preston & Shectman 1985, 1992), Hamburg/ESO (HES; Christlieb, Wisotzki & Graßhoff 2002), RAVE (Steinmetz et al. 2006), SkyMapper Southern Sky (SMSS; Keller et al. 2007), SEGUE/SDSS (Yanny et al. 2009), and LAMOST (Deng et al. 2012). The survey Pristine has been specially designed for efficient searching VMP stars (Starkenburg et al. 2017). Using the narrow-band photometric filter centred on the CaII H & K lines makes possible to successfully predict stellar metallicities (Youakim et al. 2017; Venn et al. 2020).

The number of confirmed VMP stars is substantially lower than the number of candidates because the verification of very low metallicity

* E-mail: $\lim @inasan.ru$ ¹In the classical notation, where $[X/H] = \log (N_X/N_H)_{star} - \log (N_X/N_H)_{\odot}$. requires the high-resolution follow-ups. The Stellar Abundances for Galactic Archaeology data base (Suda et al. 2008) includes about 1390 Galactic stars with [Fe/H] ≤ -2 , for which their metallicities were derived from the R $= \lambda/\Delta\lambda \geq 20\,000$ spectra. The 470 stars of them have [Fe/H] ≤ -3 , and 28 stars are ultra metal-poor (UMP; [Fe/H] ≤ -4). A burst in the number of VMP stars with detailed elemental abundances derived is expected with the launch of the WHT Enhanced Area Velocity Explorer (WEAVE) project (see a description in Dalton et al. 2014, science observations start in November of 2022). A vast amount of spectral data will be taken with the coming 4-m Multi-Object Spectroscopic Telescope (4MOST; de Jong et al. 2019).

Abundance ratios among the elements of different origin, such as Mg and Fe, for stellar samples covering broad metallicity ranges serve as the observational material for the galactic archaeology research. The simplest and widely applied method to derive elemental abundances is based on using one-dimensional (1D) model atmospheres and the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE), see e.g. the abundance results from the high-resolution spectroscopic survey APOGEE (Majewski et al. 2017; Ahumada et al. 2020). In metal-poor atmospheres, in particular, of cool giants, low total gas pressure, and low electron number density lead to departures from LTE that grow towards lower metallicity due to decreasing collisional rates and increasing radiative rates as a result of dropping ultra-violet (UV) opacity. The non-LTE (NLTE) line formation calculations show that the NLTE effects for lines of one chemical species and for different chemical species are different in

magnitude and sign, depending on the stellar parameters and element abundances. Ignoring the NLTE effects leads to a distorted picture of the galactic abundance trends and thus to wrong conclusions about the GCE.

The NLTE abundance from a given line in a given star can be obtained by adding the theoretical NLTE abundance correction, which corresponds to the star's atmospheric parameters, to the LTE abundance derived from the observed spectrum: $\log \varepsilon_{\text{NLTE}} = \log \varepsilon_{\text{LTE}} + \Delta_{\text{NLTE}}$. For a number of chemical species, Δ_{NLTE} can be taken online from the websites

(i) INSPECT (http://www.inspect-stars.com) for lines of Li I, Na I, Mg I, Ti I, Fe I- II, and Sr II,

(ii) NLTE_MPIA (http://nlte.mpia.de/) for lines of O I, Mg I, Si I, Ca I- II, Ti I- II, Cr I, Mn I, Fe I- II, and Co I,

(iii) http://spectrum.inasan.ru/nLTE/ for lines of Ca I, Ti I- II, and Fe I.

Extensive grids of the NLTE abundance corrections are provided by Korotin et al. (2015; lines of Ba II), Reggiani et al. (2019; K I), and Lind et al. (2022; Na I, Mg I, Al I). The NLTE abundance corrections for the selected lines of S I and Zn I in the limited set of atmospheric models were computed by Takeda et al. (2005). Merle et al. (2011) report the NLTE to LTE equivalent width ratios for lines of Mg I, Ca I, and Ca II in the grid of model atmospheres representing cool giants.

Different approach is a determination of the NLTE abundance directly, by using the synthetic spectrum method and the precomputed departure coefficients, $b_i = n_i^{\text{NLTE}}/n_i^{\text{LTE}}$, for the chemical species under investigation. Here, n_i^{NLTE} and n_i^{LTE} are the statistical equilibrium (SE) and the Saha-Boltzmann's number densities, respectively, for the energy level *i*. Amarsi et al. (2020b) provide the grids of b_i for 13 chemical species (neutral H, Li, C, N, O, Na, Mg, Al, Si, K, Ca, and Mn; singly ionized Mn and Ba) across a grid of the classical 1D MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008). This approach is based on using the 1D-NLTE spectral synthesis codes, such as SME (Piskunov & Valenti 2017), SYNTHV_NLTE (Tsymbal, Ryabchikova & Sitnova 2019), and Turbospectrum (Gerber et al. 2023).

An approach based on 3D model atmospheres combined with the NLTE line formation is extremely time consuming and, to now, was applied to a few chemical species in the Sun (Steffen et al. 2015; Amarsi & Asplund 2017; Lind et al. 2017; Amarsi et al. 2018, 2019; Gallagher et al. 2020; Amarsi et al. 2020a) and the benchmark VMP stars (Amarsi et al. 2016b; Nordlander et al. 2017; Bergemann et al. 2019). Grids of the 3D-NLTE abundance corrections were computed for lines of O I (Amarsi et al. 2016a) and Fe I–II (Amarsi, Liljegren & Nissen 2022) using the STAGGER grid of model atmospheres for a limited range of effective temperatures ($T_{\rm eff}$ = 5000–6500 K), surface gravities (logg = 3.0–4.5), and metallicities ([Fe/H] = 0 to –3). For the Li I lines, grids of the 3D-NLTE abundance corrections were computed by Mott et al. (2020) and Wang et al. (2021) with the CO⁵BOLD and STAGGER model atmospheres, respectively.

The 3D-NLTE calculations are available for a small number of the chemical elements observed in VMP stars, and they cover only in part the range of relevant atmospheric parameters. Furthermore, as shown by Amarsi et al. (2022) for Fe I, the abundance differences between 3D-NLTE and 1D-NLTE are generally less severe compared with the differences between 3D-NLTE and 1D-LTE and reach 0.2 dex, at maximum (see figs 5–7 in their paper). Therefore, calculations of the 1D-NLTE abundance corrections for extended line lists across the stellar parameter range which represents the VMP stars make sense, and they are useful for the galactic archaeology research. Availability

Table 1. Atomic models used in this study.

Species	Reference	H1 collisions
Naı	Alexeeva, Pakhomov & Mashonkina (2014)	BBD10
Mgı	Mashonkina (2013)	BBS12
Ca I–II	Mashonkina et al. (2017b)	BVY17
	Neretina et al. (2020)	BVY19
Ti II	Sitnova et al. (2016)	$S_{\rm H} = 1$
Fe I–II	Mashonkina et al. (2011)	$S_{\rm H} = 0.5$
Zn I–II	Sitnova et al. (2022)	YB22
Sr II	Mashonkina et al. (2022)	YBM22
Вап	Mashonkina & Belyaev (2019)	BY18

Notes. Collisions with H I are treated following to BBD10 = Barklem et al. (2010), BBS12 = Barklem et al. (2012), BVY17 = Belyaev et al. (2017; Ca I), BVY19 = Belyaev et al. (2019; Ca II), BY18 = Belyaev & Yakovleva (2018), YB22 = Yakovleva S. A. and Belyaev A. K., as presented in Sitnova et al. (2022), and YBM22 = Yakovleva et al. (2022) and Steenbock & Holweger (1984), with using the scaling factor $S_{\rm H}$.

and comparison of Δ_{NLTE} from different independent studies increase a credit of confidence in the spectroscopic NLTE analyses.

This paper presents the 1D-NLTE abundance corrections for lines of 10 chemical species in the grid of MARCS model atmospheres with $T_{\rm eff} = 4000-6500$ K, logg = 0.5–5.0, and $-5 \le$ [Fe/H] \le -2. We provide the tools for calculating online the NLTE abundance correction(s) for given line(s) and given atmospheric parameters by interpolating in the precomputed grids.

Potential users may take the following advantages of our data compared with the grids of the 1D-NLTE abundance corrections available in the literature.

(i) Only this study provides extended grids of the NLTE abundance corrections for lines of Zn II and Ba II.

(ii) For CaI and CaII, the NLTE calculations were performed with advanced treatment of the CaI + HI and CaII + HI collisions, following Belyaev et al. (2017) and Belyaev, Voronov & Yakovleva (2019), respectively.

(iii) For Zn I and Sr II, our results are based on advanced treatment of collisions with H I, following Sitnova et al. (2022; Zn I) and Yakovleva, Belyaev & Mashonkina (2022; Sr II). Our grids cover the broader range of $T_{\rm eff}$, logg, and [Fe/H] compared to that for Zn I in Takeda et al. (2005) and for Sr II in the INSPECT data base.

(iv) For CaI-CaII, FeI-FeII, and NaI, the developed 1D-NLTE methods have been verified with spectroscopic analyses of VMP stars and have been shown to yield reliable results.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 describes our NLTE methods and their verification with observations of VMP stars. New grids of the NLTE abundance corrections are presented in Section 3. In Section 4, we compare our calculations with those from other studies. Our recommendations and final remarks are given in Section 5.

2 NLTE METHODS AND THEIR VERIFICATION

The present investigation is based on the NLTE methods developed and tested in our earlier studies. Details of the adopted atomic data and the NLTE line formation for Na I, Mg I, Ca I- II, Ti I-Ti II, Fe I- II, Zn I- II, Sr II, and Ba II can be found in the papers cited in Table 1. It is important to note that collisions with hydrogen atoms were treated with the data based on quantum-mechanical calculations. The exceptions are Ti II and Fe I–II, for which we adopted the Drawinian rates (Drawin 1969; Steenbock & Holweger 1984) scaled by an empirically estimated factor of $S_{\rm H} = 1$ (Sitnova, Mashonkina & Ryabchikova 2016) and $S_{\rm H} = 0.5$ (Sitnova et al. 2015; Mashonkina et al. 2017a), respectively.

The code DETAIL (Giddings 1981; Butler 1984) with the revised opacity package (see the description in Mashonkina et al. 2011) was used to solve the coupled radiative transfer and SE equations. The obtained LTE and NLTE level populations were then implemented in the code LINEC (Sakhibullin 1983) that, for each given spectral line, computes the NLTE curve of growth and finds the shift in the NLTE abundance, which is required to reproduce the LTE equivalent width. Such an abundance shift is referred to as the NLTE abundance correction, $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = \log \varepsilon_{\text{NLTE}} - \log \varepsilon_{\text{LTE}}$.

All the calculations were performed using the classical LTE model atmospheres with the standard chemical composition (Gustafsson et al. 2008), as provided by the MARCS website.²

Below, we provide evidence for a correct treatment of the NLTE line formation for FeI-FeII, CaI-CaII, and NaI in the atmospheres of VMP stars.

2.1 Spectroscopic versus Gaia eDR3 distances

Iron is represented in the VMP stars by the two ionization stages, which are used in many studies to determine spectroscopic surface gravities (g_{Sp}) from the requirement that abundances from lines of FeI and FeII in a given star must be equal. The surface gravity can also be derived from distance; this is the distance-based surface gravity, g_d . If g_{Sp} based on the NLTE calculations and g_d are obtained to be consistent within the error bars, this means that the calculations for FeI–FeII are correct.

Sitnova et al. (2015) and Mashonkina et al. (2017a) derived the surface gravities for the two Galactic stellar samples using photometric effective temperatures and the NLTE analysis of the Fe I and Fe II lines. Using the Gaia eDR3 parallaxes corrected according to Lindegren et al. (2021), we calculated distances from the maximum of the distance probability distribution function, as recommended by Bailer-Jones (2015), and then \log_d from the relation

$$\log g_{\rm d} = -10.607 + \log M + 4 \log T_{\rm eff}$$
$$-0.4 [4.74 - (V + BC + 5 - 5 \log d - A_V)].$$

Here, *M* is a star's mass, A_V is an interstellar extinction in the *V* band, BC is a bolometric correction which was calculated by interpolation in the grid of Bessell, Castelli & Plez (1998).³ The atmospheric parameters and A_V were taken from Sitnova et al. (2015) and Mashonkina et al. (2017a). Stellar masses and *V* magnitudes for the Sitnova et al. (2015) sample are listed in their table 5 and 2, respectively. For the stellar sample of Mashonkina et al. (2017a), the *V* magnitudes are listed in their table 5. For each VMP giant, we adopt $M = 0.8 \text{ M}_{\odot}$.

Statistical error of the distance-based surface gravity was computed as the quadratic sum of errors of the star's distance, effective temperature, mass, visual magnitude, and BC. We assumed the stellar mass error as $\sigma_{\rm M} = 0.1 {\rm M}_{\odot}$ and took the effective temperature errors, $\sigma_{\rm T}$, from Sitnova et al. (2015) and Mashonkina et al. (2017a). The total error is dominated by $\sigma_{\rm M}$ for the nearby stars and by the distance error, $\sigma_{\rm d}$, for the distant objects.

Table 2 lists the obtained Gaia eDR3 distances and $\log g_d$ values, as well as the spectroscopic surface gravities from Sitnova et al. (2015) and Mashonkina et al. (2017a). The differences log g_{Sp} –

²http://marcs.astro.uu.se

logg_d are shown in Fig. 1. The majority of our stars lie within 631 pc from the Sun, and their spectroscopic surface gravities are found to be consistent within the error bars with the distance-based ones. A clear outlier is HD 8724, with log $g_{Sp} - \log g_d = -0.48$. We note that the discrepancy between log g_{Sp} and logg_d has reduced compared to -0.76 dex obtained for HD 8724 by Mashonkina et al. (2017a) using the Gaia DR1 parallax (Gaia Collaboration 2016). However, it is still greater than the error of spectroscopic surface gravity, $\sigma_{\log g(sp)} = 0.24$ dex. Formal calculation of $\sigma_{\log g(d)}$ leads to 0.07 dex (Table 2), however, astrometric_excess_noise_sig = 6.005 and astrometric_chi2_al = 419.84 indicated by Lindegren et al. (2021) for HD 8724 suggest an unreliable solution for the Gaia eDR3 parallax.

For 15 distant stars, with d > 2 kpc, the errors of $\log g_d$ grow. Nevertheless, the spectroscopic surface gravities are consistent, on average, with the distance-based ones.

Thus, our NLTE method for Fe I/Fe II is reliable and can be used for determinations of surface gravities, in particular, for distant stars with large distance errors.

2.2 Ca I versus Ca II

A firm argument for a correct treatment of the NLTE line formation for CaI-CaII can be obtained from a comparison of the NLTE abundances from lines of the two ionization stages. Mashonkina et al. (2017b) report the LTE and NLTE abundances from lines of CaI and CaII 8498 Å for five reference stars with well-determined atmospheric parameters in the -2.7 < [Fe/H] < -1.3 metallicity range and find fairly consistent NLTE abundances, while the LTE abundance difference between CaI and CaII 8498 Å grows in absolute value towards lower metallicity and reaches -0.45 dex for [Fe/H] = -2.62, see their fig. 6.

Sitnova et al. (2019) studied the UMP stars and improved their atmospheric parameters using an extensive method based on the colour- $T_{\rm eff}$ calibrations, NLTE fits of the Balmer line wings, and Gaia DR2 trigonometric parallaxes. For each star, the derived effective temperature and surface gravity were checked by inspecting the Ca I/Ca II NLTE ionization equilibrium and by comparing the star's position in the logg – $T_{\rm eff}$ plane with the theoretical isochrones of 12 and 13 Gyr.

The abundance differences between the two ionization stages from the NLTE and LTE calculations of Mashonkina et al. (2017b) and Sitnova et al. (2019) are displayed in Fig. 2. Nowhere, the NLTE abundance difference Ca I – Ca II exceeds 0.15 dex, while the LTE abundances from lines of Ca II are systematically lower compared with that from Ca I, by up to 0.85 dex. Thus, the NLTE results obtained using our NLTE method for Ca I–II (Mashonkina et al. 2017b) can be trusted.

2.3 Na I resonance lines in VMP stars

Fig. 3 displays the [Na/Mg] abundance ratios in the wide range of metallicities from the LTE and NLTE calculations of Zhao et al. (2016) and Mashonkina et al. (2017c). For [Fe/H] > -1, both LTE and NLTE data form a well-defined upward trend, with a small star-to-star scatter for the stars of close metallicity. The situation is very different in LTE and NLTE for [Fe/H] < -1. In LTE, the [Na/Mg] ratios reveal a big scatter, which is substantially reduced in the NLTE calculations. An explanation lies mostly with the NLTE effects for lines of Na1. For Mg, the differences between the NLTE and LTE abundances do not exceed 0.1 dex.

³https://www.ser.oats.inaf.it/castelli/colors/bcp.html

Star	Gaia	eDR3	$T_{ m eff}$	logg	[Fe/H]
	d (pc)	logg _d	(K)	(Sp)	[· -·]
1	2	3	4	5	6
HD 2796	631.3 (9.3)	1.79 (0.03)	4880	1.55	- 2.32
HD 4306	480.6 (7.7)	2.26 (0.03)	4960	2.18	-2.74
HD 8724	425.8 (4.6)	1.77 (0.03)	4560	1.29	- 1.76
HD 19373	10.57 (0.02)	4.18 (0.02)	6045	4.24	0.10
HD 22 484	13.91 (0.03)	4.03 (0.02)	6000	4.07	0.01
HD 22 879	26.07 (0.02)	4.23 (0.02)	5800	4.29	-0.84
HD 24 289	211.9 (1.9)	3.79 (0.03)	5980	3.71	- 1.94
HD 30 562	26.12 (0.03)	4.09 (0.02)	5900	4.08	0.17
HD 30743	36.22 (0.03)	4.13 (0.02)	6450	4.20	- 0.44
HD 34 411	12.55 (0.02)	4.23 (0.02)	5850	4.23	0.01
HD 43 318	36.30 (0.09)	3.90 (0.02)	6250	3.92	- 0.19
HD 45 067	32.85 (0.05)	3.96 (0.02)	5960	3.94	- 0.16
HD 45 205	75.59 (0.12)	3.85 (0.02)	5790	4.08	- 0.87
HD 49 955	29.79 (0.04)	4.17 (0.02)	5000	4.15	- 0.47
HD 52 /11	18.95 (0.02)	4.32 (0.02)	5900 6410	4.55	- 0.21
HD 50 374	20.38 (0.00)	4.28 (0.02)	5850	4.52	- 0.29
HD 50 084	28.64 (0.03)	4.29 (0.02) 3.06 (0.02)	5030	4.58	- 0.88
HD 62 301	28.04 (0.03)	3.90 (0.02) 4.09 (0.02)	5840	4.02	-0.09
HD 64 090	27 32 (0.02)	4.60 (0.03)	5400	4.09	-1.73
HD 69 897	18 21 (0.02)	4 24 (0 02)	6240	4.70	-0.25
HD 74 000	10.21(0.03) 109.8(0.2)	4.24(0.02) 4.27(0.02)	6225	4.13	- 1.97
HD 76 932	21 41 (0.02)	4.09 (0.02)	5870	4.10	-0.98
HD 82.943	27.66 (0.02)	4 37 (0.02)	5970	4 37	0.19
HD 84 937	73.87 (0.24)	4.15 (0.02)	6350	4.09	- 2.16
HD 89 744	38.50 (0.06)	3.98 (0.02)	6280	3.97	0.13
HD 90 839	12.94 (0.01)	4.33 (0.02)	6195	4.38	-0.18
HD 92 855	36.63 (0.03)	4.40 (0.02)	6020	4.36	-0.12
HD 94 028	49.39 (0.06)	4.33 (0.02)	5970	4.33	- 1.47
HD 99 984	51.50 (0.10)	3.72 (0.02)	6190	3.72	-0.38
HD 100 563	26.86 (0.04)	4.25 (0.02)	6460	4.32	0.06
HD 102 870	11.00 (0.02)	4.06 (0.02)	6170	4.14	0.11
HD 103 095	9.17 (0.00)	4.66 (0.03)	5130	4.66	- 1.26
HD 105755	83.31 (0.10)	4.05 (0.02)	5800	4.05	-0.73
HD 106 516	22.32 (0.40)	4.38 (0.03)	6300	4.44	-0.73
HD 108 177	105.9 (0.2)	4.25 (0.02)	6100	4.22	- 1.67
HD 108 317	192.7 (1.1)	2.81 (0.03)	5270	2.96	-2.18
HD 110 897	17.55 (0.01)	4.36 (0.02)	5920	4.41	-0.57
HD 114710	9.19 (0.01)	4.45 (0.02)	6090	4.47	0.06
HD 115 617	8.53 (0.01)	4.35 (0.03)	5490	4.40	-0.10
HD 122 563	318.6 (3.4)	1.32 (0.03)	4600	1.32	- 2.63
HD 128 279	130.4 (0.5)	3.01 (0.03)	5200	3.00	- 2.19
HD 134 088	39.23 (0.04) 52.88 (0.20)	4.36 (0.02)	5730	4.46	- 0.80
HD 134 169	53.88 (0.39)	4.09 (0.02)	5890	4.02	-0.78
HD 1/0/283	61 32 (0.10)	4.17 (0.03)	5050	4.50	0.24
HD 140 265	20.06 (0.07)	3.75(0.02) 3.10(0.03)	J 100 /810	3.70	- 2.40
HD 142 373	15 89 (0.07)	3.90 (0.03)	5830	3.12	-0.07 -0.54
HD 218 857	346.2(2.3)	2 57 (0.03)	5060	2 53	- 1.92
HE0011-0035	7080 (1308)	2.37 (0.05)	4950	2.00	-3.04
HE0039-4154	7032 (720)	1.80 (0.09)	4780	1.60	-3.26
HE0048-0611	6434 (1253)	2.69 (0.17)	5180	2.70	- 2.69
HE0122-1616	5582 (1103)	2.94 (0.17)	5200	2.65	- 2.85
HE0332-1007	12 774 (2892)	1.47 (0.20)	4750	1.50	- 2.89
HE0445-2339	6673 (624)	2.03 (0.09)	5165	2.20	- 2.76
HE1356-0622	7770 (1507)	1.88 (0.17)	4945	2.00	- 3.45
HE1357-0123	14 890 (4225)	1.30 (0.25)	4600	1.20	- 3.92
HE1416-1032	8244 (1635)	2.15 (0.17)	5000	2.00	- 3.23
HE2244-2116	7703 (2213)	2.67 (0.25)	5230	2.80	-2.40
HE2249-1704	10 602 (2771)	1.86 (0.23)	4590	1.20	- 2.94
HE2252-4225	9265 (2354)	1.93 (0.22)	4750	1.55	-2.76

 Table 2. Distance-based and spectroscopic surface gravities for the Galactic stellar samples from Sitnova et al. (2015) and Mashonkina et al. (2017a).

Table 2
Table 2

Star	Gaia eDR3		T _{eff}	logg	[Fe/H]	
1	d (pc) 2	logg _d 3	(K) 4	(Sp) 5	6	
HE2327-5642	4711 (308)	2.25 (0.06)	5050	2.20	- 2.92	
BD+07°4841	156.0 (0.4)	4.30 (0.02)	6130	4.15	- 1.46	
BD+09°0352	158.1 (0.5)	4.16 (0.02)	6150	4.25	-2.09	
BD+24°1676	250.2 (1.1)	4.08 (0.02)	6210	3.90	-2.44	
BD+ 9°2091	87.69 (0.15)	4.59 (0.02)	5860	4.67	- 1.91	
BD+37°1458	143.9 (0.3)	3.56 (0.03)	5500	3.70	- 1.95	
BD+66°0268	49.55 (0.04)	4.66 (0.03)	5300	4.72	-2.06	
BD-04°3208	174.1 (0.6)	4.12 (0.02)	6390	4.08	-2.20	
BD-11°0145	2055 (80)	1.66 (0.04)	4900	1.73	-2.18	
BD-13°3442	210.6 (1.4)	4.12 (0.02)	6400	3.95	-2.62	
CD-24°1782	469.0 (3.7)	2.76 (0.03)	5140	2.62	-2.72	
BS16550-0087	8253 (1052)	1.58 (0.11)	4750	1.50	- 3.33	
G090-003	250.8 (1.1)	3.89 (0.02)	6007	3.90	-2.04	

Notes. The errors of the Gaia eDR3 distances and logg_d are indicated in parentheses.



Figure 1. The differences between spectroscopic and distance-based surface gravities depending on the Gaia eDR3 distance for the Galactic stellar samples from Sitnova et al. (2015) and Mashonkina et al. (2017a).



Figure 2. NLTE (red squares) and LTE (blue triangles) differences between the Ca I- and Ca II-based abundances for the stellar samples from Mashonkina, Sitnova & Belyaev (2017b) and Sitnova et al. (2019).

For [Fe/H] > -1, the Na abundances were derived by Zhao et al. (2016) from the Na I 5682, 5688, 6154, 6160, and 5895 Å subordinate lines, which are slightly affected by NLTE, with negative Δ_{NLTE} of $\preceq 0.1$ dex, in absolute value. In the lower metallicity stars, sodium is observed in the Na I 5889 and 5895 Å resonance lines only. They are subject to strong NLTE effects, with Δ_{NLTE} depending on the atmospheric parameters and the Na abundance itself. For different stars, Δ_{NLTE} varies between -0.1 and -0.6 dex (Mashonkina et al. 2017c). Removing the star-to-star scatter of the [Na/Mg] NLTE abundance ratios for [Fe/H] < -1 can serve as a circumstantial evidence for the line formation to be treated correctly.

Taking advantage of the obtained Galactic NLTE [Na/Mg] trend, we found that the modern nuclesynthesis and GCE calculations, which are represented in Fig. 3 (right-hand panel) by the GCE model of Kobayashi et al. (2020), predict correctly contributions from the core-collapse supernovae (SNeII) and the asymptotic giant branch stars to production of Mg and Na during the Galaxy history.

3 GRIDS OF THE NLTE ABUNDANCE CORRECTIONS

By request of the Pristine collaboration (Starkenburg et al. 2017), the NLTE abundance corrections were computed for the lines which can be detected in spectra of VMP stars, that is, for the [Fe/H] ≤ -2 range. We focused, in particular, on the spectral ranges observed by WEAVE,⁴ that is 4040–4650, 4750–5450, and 5950–6850 Å for the high-resolution ($R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda = 20000$) observations and 3660–9590 Å for the R = 5000 observations, and 4MOST,⁵ that is 3926–4350, 5160–5730, and 6100–6790 Å for the high-resolution spectrograph ($R \simeq 20000$) and 3700–9500 Å for the low-resolution spectrograph ($R \simeq 4000$ –7500). We selected 4/15/28/4/54/262/7/2/2/5 lines of Na 1Mg ICa ICa IITI IIFE IZn IZn IISr IIBa II.

The range of atmospheric parameters was selected to represent metal-poor stars on various evolutionary stages, from the main sequence to the RGB; see the isochrone of 12 Gyr, [Fe/H] = -2, and $[\alpha/Fe] = 0.4$ from Dotter et al. (2008) in Fig. 4. The NLTE calculations were performed in the following ranges of effective temperature and surface gravity:

(i) $T_{\text{eff}} = 4000$ to 4750 K for $\log g = 0.5-2.5$; (ii) $T_{\text{eff}} = 5000$ K for $\log g = 0.5-5.0$; (iii) $T_{\text{eff}} = 5250-5500$ K for $\log g = 2.0-5.0$; (iv) $T_{\text{eff}} = 5750-6500$ K for $\log g = 3.0-5.0$. (v) Metallicity range is $-5.0 \le [\text{Fe/H}] \le -2.0$.

The nodes of the NLTE abundance correction grids correspond to the nodes of the MARCS model grid. Therefore, $T_{\rm eff}$ varies with a step of 250 K, logg with a step of 0.5, and [Fe/H] with a step of

⁴https://ingconfluence.ing.iac.es/confluence/display/WEAV/Science ⁵https://www.4most.eu/cms



Figure 3. [Na/Mg] LTE (left-hand panel) and NLTE (right-hand panel) abundance ratios for the dwarf stellar sample from Zhao et al. (2016; small black circles) and the giant sample from Mashonkina et al. (2017c; large red circles). The NLTE abundance ratios are well reproduced by the chemical evolution model of Kobayashi et al. (2020; dashed curve).



Figure 4. Stellar parameter range covered by our tables of the NLTE abundance corrections is shown by squares. The solid curve is the isochrone of 12 Gyr, [Fe/H] = -2, and $[\alpha/Fe] = 0.4$ from Dotter et al. (2008).

0.5. The MARCS website does not provide models with [Fe/H] = -3.5 and -4.5. The missing models were calculated by interpolating between the [Fe/H] = -3 and -4 and between the [Fe/H] = -4 and -5 models. We applied the FORTRAN-based interpolation routine written by Thomas Masseron and available on the MARCS website.

For Fe I–II and Zn I–II, the SE calculations were performed with [Element/Fe] = 0.0; for Mg I and Ti II with [Element/Fe] = 0.4 and 0.3, respectively.

For Na I, Ca I, Ca II, Sr II, and Ba II, the NLTE effects are sensitive to not only $T_{\rm eff}$ /logg/[Fe/H], but also the element abundance used in the SE calculations. Therefore, the grids of the NLTE corrections are 4D where [Element/Fe] takes the following numbers:

(i) [Na/Fe] = -0.6, -0.3, 0.0, 0.3, and 0.6;

(ii) [Ca/Fe] = 0.0 and 0.4;

(iii) [Sr/Fe] = -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, 0.5, and 1.0 for the dwarf model atmospheres,

(iv) [Sr/Fe] = -1.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, and 0.5 for the giant model atmospheres;

(v) [Ba/Fe] = -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, and 0.5 for the dwarf model atmospheres,

(vi) [Ba/Fe] = -1.5, -1.0, -0.5, 0.0, and 0.5 for the giant model atmospheres.

The website INASAN_NLTE⁶ provides the tools for calculating online the NLTE abundance correction(s) for given spectral line(s) and atmospheric parameters T_{eff} , logg, [Fe/H], [Element/Fe] by an interpolation in the NLTE correction grids.

3.1 NLTE corrections depending on atmospheric parameters

Fig. 5 displays the NLTE abundance corrections predicted for representative lines of different chemical species in VMP stars on different evolutionary stages, namely, the turn-off (TO, $T_{\rm eff}$ /logg = 6250/4.0), the bottom red giant branch (bRGB, 5250/3.0), and the RGB (4500/1.5). For each line, $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ depends on $T_{\rm eff}$, logg, and [Fe/H]. Therefore, neglecting the NLTE effects distorts the galactic abundance trends. In the same atmosphere, different lines have the NLTE corrections of different magnitude and sign. Therefore, the star's element abundance pattern derived under the LTE assumption does not reflect correctly relative contributions of different nuclesynthesis sources.

The sign of Δ_{NLTE} is determined by the mechanisms that produce the departures from LTE for lines of a given species in given physical conditions.

In the stellar parameter range with which we concern, Mg I, Ca I, and Fe I are the minority species in the line formation layers, and they are subject to the UV overionization, resulting in depleted atomic level populations, weakened lines, and positive NLTE abundance corrections (see Mashonkina, Shimanskaya & Sakhibullin 1996; Mashonkina, Korn & Przybilla 2007; Mashonkina et al. 2011; for detailed analyses). The intensity of the ionizing UV radiation increases with decreasing metallicity, resulting in growing departures from LTE.

Na I is also the minority species, however, due to low photoionization cross-sections of its ground state, the main NLTE mechanism is a 'photon suction' process (Bruls, Rutten & Shchukina 1992) which produces overpopulation of the neutral stage, resulting in strengthened Na I lines and negative NLTE abundance corrections. Photon suction is connected with collisional processes that couple the high-excitation levels of Na I with the singly ionized stage. In contrast to the radiative processes, an influence of collisional processes on the of Na I is weakened with decreasing metallicity, and Δ_{NLTE} for Na I 5895 Å decreases in absolute value and becomes even slightly positive for [Fe/H] ≤ -4.5 in the 4500/1.5 models.

⁶http://spectrum.inasan.ru/nLTE2/



Figure 5. NLTE abundance corrections for lines of Na1 (rhombi), Mg1 (circles), Ca1 (triangles), Ca1I (filled inverted triangles), Ti II (open inverted triangles), Fe I (squares), Sr II (asterisks), and Ba1I (five-pointed star) as a function of metallicity in the models with $T_{\rm eff}/\log g = 6250/4.0$ (top panel), 5250/3.0 (middle panel), and 4500/1.5 (bottom panel). Everywhere in the NLTE calculations, [Element/Fe] = 0 was adopted.

The NLTE effects for the majority species Ca II, Ti II, Sr II, and Ba II are driven by the bound-bound (b-b) transitions. For an individual line, the sign and magnitude of Δ_{NLTE} depend on the physical conditions and the transition where the line arises. Ca II 8498 Å arises in the transition $3d^2D_{3/2}-4p^2P_{3/2}^{\circ}$. The upper level is depopulated in the atmospheric layers where the core of Ca II 8498 Å forms via photon loss in the wings of the Ca II 3933 and 3968 Å resonance lines and the 8498, 8542, 8668 Å infrared triplet lines. The Ca II 8498 Å line core is strengthened because the line source function drops below the Planck function, resulting in negative Δ_{NLTE} (Mashonkina et al. 2007). In the [Fe/H] = -2 models, Ca II 8498 Å is very strong with a total absorption dominated by the line wings that form in deep atmospheric layers where the NLTE effects are small. With decreasing [Fe/H] (and Ca abundance, too) the line wings are weakened, and Δ_{NLTE} grows in absolute value. In the 6250/4.0 and 5250/3.0 models, Δ_{NLTE} decreases in absolute value for [Fe/H] \leq -3.5 because of shifting the formation depths for Ca II 8498 Å in deep atmospheric layers.

Owing to a complex atomic term structure, the levels of Ti II are tightly coupled to each other and to the ground state via radiative and collisional processes, and the NLTE corrections for the Ti II lines are slightly positive in the stellar parameter range with which we concern (Sitnova et al. 2016): $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} \preceq 0.1$ dex for Ti II 4395 Å.

Mashonkina & Gehren (2001) and Mashonkina, Gehren & Bikmaev (1999) predicted theoretically that NLTE may either strengthen or weaken the lines of Sr II and Ba II, depending on the stellar parameters and elemental abundance. For example, in the 6250/4.0 models, Δ_{NLTE} is positive for Ba II 4554 Å over full range of [Fe/H] = -2 down to -4.5, while, for Sr II 4215 Å, Δ_{NLTE} is negative when [Fe/H] ≥ -2.5 and positive for the more metal-deficient atmospheres. In the RGB atmospheres, both Sr II 4215 Å and Ba II 4554 Å are very strong until metallicity decreases to [Fe/H] = -3.5, and the NLTE corrections are small. For the lower metallicity, Δ_{NLTE} is positive for both lines and grows with decreasing [Fe/H].

For lines of Zn I, the NLTE abundance corrections depending on atmospheric parameters are discussed by Sitnova et al. (2022).

3.2 NLTE corrections depending on elemental abundances

The stars of close metallicity in the [Fe/H] < -2 range reveal a substantial scatter of the Na, Sr, and Ba abundances (see e.g. Cohen et al. 2013). Exactly for Na I, Sr II, and Ba II the NLTE effects depend strongly on not only atmospheric parameters, but also the element abundance. Therefore, in order to interpret correctly the chemical evolution of Na, Sr, and Ba, abundance analyses of VMP samples should be based on the NLTE abundances.

Fig. 6 shows that, for the TO and bRGB stars, the LTE analysis overestimates the Na abundances, by the quantity which is greater for the Na-enhanced than for Na-poor star. The difference in Δ_{NLTE} exceeds 0.4 dex for [Fe/H] = -2.5 and reduces towards the lower [Fe/H]. The same is true for the RGB stars with [Fe/H] \leq -3.5, but the situation is more complicated for the higher metallicities. For [Fe/H] > -3, the Na I 5895 Å line is very strong in the Na-enhanced cool atmospheres, and the total line absorption is dominated by the line wings that form in deep atmospheric layers affected only weakly by NLTE. Accounting for the NLTE effects for the Na I lines reduces substantially the abundance discrepancies found for stellar samples in LTE, as well illustrated by Fig. 3.

Using the same atmospheric parameters, LTE may either overestimate, or underestimate abundances of Sr and Ba depending on the elemental abundances, as shown in Fig. 7. For [Fe/H] < -2, the NLTE abundance corrections for Sr II 4215 Å and Ba II 4554 Å are positive in the Sr- and Ba-poor atmospheres, while they can be negative for the Sr- and Ba-enhanced atmospheres. Accounting for the NLTE effects can reduce the abundance discrepancies found for stellar samples in LTE, by more than 0.4 dex for Sr in the TO [Fe/H] = -2.5 stars and for Ba in the bRGB [Fe/H] = -2.5 stars.

3.3 NLTE corrections for different type model atmospheres

The model atmospheres computed with different codes produce, as a rule, very similar atmospheric structures and spectral energy distributions for common atmospheric parameters. We checked how different type model atmospheres influence on magnitudes of the NLTE abundance corrections. Taking the ATLAS9-ODFNEW models from R. Kurucz's website,⁷ we performed the NLTE calculations for Ca1–II, Fe I–II, and Ba II with the models 6250/4.0/–4.0 and



Figure 6. NLTE abundance corrections for Na I 5895 Å depending on the element abundance in the models representing atmospheres of the TO, bRGB, and RGB stars. The circles, triangles, and squares correspond to [Na/Fe] = 0.0, -0.6, and + 0.6, respectively.



Figure 7. The same as in Fig. 6 for Sr II 4215 Å (top row) and Ba II 4554 Å (bottom row). The circles, triangles, and squares correspond to [Element/Fe] = 0.0, -1.0, and +0.5, respectively.

Table 3. NLTE abundance corrections for the selected lines in the MARCS and ATLAS9-ODFNEW model atmospheres.

Line	6250/4.0/-4.0		4500/1.5/-4.0		
	MARCS	ATLAS9	MARCS	ATLAS9	
Ca 1 4226 Å	0.163	0.199	0.039	- 0.019	
Ca II 8498 Å	-0.238	-0.220	-0.475	-0.531	
Fe I 4920 Å	0.286	0.322	0.224	0.229	
Ba II 4554 Å	0.175	0.172	0.148	0.107	

4500/1.5/-4.0. For these atmospheric parameters, the selected lines reveal the greatest NLTE effects. The results are presented in Table 3.

For 6250/4.0/-4.0, the MARCS and ATLAS9-ODFNEW model atmospheres provide consistent within 0.036 dex NLTE abundance corrections. Slightly larger differences of up to 0.058 dex are obtained for the strong lines, Ca I 4226 Å and Ca II 8498 Å, in the cool giant atmosphere. We remind that the MARCS models with logg \leq 2 were computed as spherically symmetric, and the difference in temperature stratification between the spherically symmetric and plane-parallel (ATLAS9-ODFNEW) models can explain, in part, differences in $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ for strong spectral lines.

4 COMPARISONS WITH OTHER STUDIES

The NLTE methods based on comprehensive model atoms and the most up-to-date atomic data have been developed in the literature for many chemical species observed in spectra of the Sun and F-G-K type stars because the NLTE results are in demand in chemical abundance

analyses of, in particular, VMP stars. For a common chemical species, the model atoms in different NLTE studies can differ by a treatment of inelastic collisions with electrons and hydrogen atoms and by the sources of transition probabilities and photoionization cross-sections. Different NLTE studies use different NLTE codes, with a different treatment of background opacity, and different model atmospheres. We compared our NLTE calculations with the NLTE abundance corrections from the other studies.

4.1 Lines of Fe I

As shown in Fig. 8, our results for lines of Fe I agree well with the NLTE abundance corrections from the NLTE_MPIA data base, which were computed using the model atom of Bergemann et al. (2012a) and the same treatment of collisions with HI, as in our calculations, namely, the formulas of Steenbock & Holweger (1984) with a scaling factor of $S_{\rm H} = 0.5$. The differences in $\Delta_{\rm NLTE}$ between this study (TS) and NLTE_MPIA mostly do not exceed 0.02 dex, with the maximal (TS – NLTE_MPIA) = 0.06 dex for Fe I 5506 Å in the 6350/4.09/-2.18 model and Fe I 5041 Å in the 4630/1.28/-2.99 model.

Amarsi et al. (2022; hereafter Amarsi22) provide the NLTE abundance corrections computed with the 1D and 3D model atmospheres. The 3D-NLTE calculations were performed for a limited atmospheric parameter range ($T_{\rm eff} = 5000-6500$ K, logg = 4.0 and 4.5, [Fe/H] = 0 to -3) and a limited number of Fe I lines. We selected Fe I 5232 Å for a comparison. Amarsi22 computed more positive NLTE corrections compared with ours (Fig. 8), by 0.07–



Figure 8. NLTE abundance corrections for lines of Fe I from our calculations (this study = TS, filled circles and squares), the NLTE_MPIA data base (open circles and squares), and Amarsi et al. (2022; triangles). Left-hand panel: lines of various E_{exc} in the model atmospheres 6350/4.09/-2.18 (circles) and 4630/1.28/-2.99 (squares). Right-hand panel: Fe I 5232 Å in the model atmospheres with [Fe/H] = -3 for logg = 2.5 (red symbols) and 4.0 (blue and green symbols). The filled and open triangles corresponds to the 3D NLTE and 1D NLTE calculations, respectively.

0.27 dex in the 1D case and by 0.14-0.39 dex in the 3D case. The difference between 1D-NLTE corrections is most probably due to a different treatment of the Fe I + H I collisions in this and Amarsi22's studies. For HI impact excitation and charge transfer, Amarsi22 apply the asymptotic model of Barklem (2018) complemented by the free electron model of Kaulakys (1991) for the b-b transitions. We showed earlier (Mashonkina et al. 2019) that compared with the Steenbock & Holweger (1984) formulas with $S_{\rm H} = 0.5$ using data of Barklem (2018) leads to stronger NLTE effects. For example, $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = 0.08 \text{ dex}$ and 0.35 dex, respectively, for Fe I 5232 Å in the 6350/4.09/-2.18 model atmosphere. In the 3D model atmospheres, the NLTE effects for FeI are stronger than in the 1D models, and notable departures from LTE appear for lines of Fe II, in contrast to the 1D case, such that, for two benchmark VMP stars, Amarsi22 (see their table 5) obtain similar abundance differences between Fe I and FeII in the 1D-NLTE and 3D-NLTE calculations. To remind the reader, our 1D-NLTE approach for Fe I-II makes the spectroscopic distances of the VMP stellar sample to be consistent with the Gaia eDR3 ones (Section 2.1).

4.2 Lines of Na I, Mg I, Ca I, Ca II, and Sr II

We selected Mg I 5528 Å, in order to compare our NLTE calculations with the 1D-NLTE corrections provided by the NLTE_MPIA data base and by Lind et al. (2022; hereafter Lind22). The used model atoms (from Bergemann et al. 2017, for NLTE_MPIA) are similar to ours, including a treatment of collisions with H I atoms. As seen in Fig. 9, our calculations agree very well with those of Lind22. The differences in Δ_{NLTE} do not exceed 0.01 and 0.02 dex for the logg = 4.0 and 2.5 models, respectively. The exception is the 4000/2.5/-3 model, for which we obtained a 0.065 dex more negative Δ_{NLTE} . NLTE_MPIA provides more positive NLTE corrections compared with ours, by 0.03–0.05 dex. The difference is 0.12 dex for the 4000/2.5/-3 model.

Similar model atoms of Na I were used in this study and by Lind22. The differences in Δ_{NLTE} for Na I 5895 Å are very small (~0.01 dex) for the coolest and the hottest temperatures in Fig. 9. It is difficult to explain why TS – Lind22 = 0.07 dex for the 5000/2.5/–3 model, but TS – Lind22 = 0.00 for 5000/4.0/–3.

For lines of Sr II, the 1D-NLTE corrections are provided by the INSPECT data base. Their NLTE calculations were performed with the model atom developed by Bergemann et al. (2012b) and did not take into account collisions with H I atoms. This is in contrast to this study based on quantum-mechanical rate coefficients for the Sr II + H I collisions. The atmospheric parameter range is narrower in INSPECT compared with this study, namely: $4400 \text{ K} \le T_{\text{eff}} \le$

MNRAS **524,** 3526–3536 (2023)

6400 K, 2.2 $\leq \log g \leq 4.6$, $-3.9 \leq [Fe/H] \leq 0$. The differences in Δ_{NLTE} for Sr II 4077 Å are small except the models 5500/2.5/-3 and 6000/4.0/-3, where TS - INSPECT = -0.07 dex and +0.05 dex, respectively (Fig. 9).

The 1D-NLTE corrections for the Ca I lines at the NLTE_MPIA data base were computed with the model atom developed by Mashonkina et al. (2007) and using Steenbock & Holweger (1984) formulas with $S_{\rm H} = 0.1$ for calculating hydrogen collision rates. In this study, we applied the same model atom, however, the Ca I + H I collisions were treated using quantum-mechanical rate coefficients from Belyaev et al. (2017). As seen in Fig. 9, NLTE_MPIA provides systematically greater NLTE corrections for Ca I 6162 Å compared with our data, by 0.08–0.20 dex, probably due to a simplified treatment of hydrogenic collisions.

Ignoring the Ca II + H I collisions in the SE calculations resulted in stronger NLTE effects for the Ca II triplet lines in Merle et al. (2011) study compared with ours. For example, Merle et al. (2011) report the NLTE/LTE equivalent ratios of 1.28 and 1.16 for Ca II 8498 and 8542 Å, respectively, in the 4250/1.5/-4.0 model, while our corresponding values are 1.22 and 1.12.

4.3 Lines of Ba II

Finally, we compared our results with the 1D-NLTE corrections calculated by Korotin et al. (2015, K15) for lines of Ba II. K15 provide the data for the $-2 \leq [Fe/H] \leq 0.5$ metallicity range. Therefore, Δ_{NLTE} comparisons are presented in Fig. 10 for the same temperatures and surface gravities, as in Fig. 9, but for [Fe/H] = -2. The differences in Δ_{NLTE} for Ba II 6496 Å do not exceed 0.02 dex except the coolest and the hottest giant atmospheres, where TS – K15 = -0.05 dex and +0.05 dex, respectively.

To summarize this section, the situation with the 1D-NLTE corrections for lines of Na I, Mg I, and Fe I looks good. For each of these chemical species, there are, at least, two independent NLTE studies that predict consistent within 0.01–0.02 dex NLTE corrections and provide the grids which cover the full range of atmospheric parameters of VMP stars. For Sr II and Ba II, the NLTE corrections predicted by the independent studies agree reasonably well in the overlapping atmospheric parameter range.

5 FINAL REMARKS

This study presents grids of the 1D-NLTE abundance corrections for the Na I, Mg I, Ca I, Ca II, Ti II, Fe I, Zn I, Zn II, Sr II, and Ba II lines, which are used in the galactic archaeology research. The range of atmospheric parameters represents VMP stars on various



Figure 9. NLTE abundance corrections for Mg I 5528 Å, Ca I 6162 Å, Na I 5895 Å, and Sr II 4077 Å depending on T_{eff} in the model atmospheres with [Fe/H] = -3 for two values of logg: 2.5 (red symbols) and 4.0 (blue symbols). The data taken from Lind et al. (2022) and the INSPECT data base are shown by open diamonds and from the NLTE_MPIA data base by open circles, while our calculations (TS) by filled circles.



Figure 10. NLTE abundance corrections for Ba II 6496 Å depending on T_{eff} in the model atmospheres with [Fe/H] = -2 for two values of logg: 2.5 (red symbols) and 4.0 (blue symbols). The filled circles and squares show our calculations (TS) and results of K15.

evolutionary stages and covers 4000 K $\leq T_{\rm eff} \leq$ 6500 K, 0.5 $\leq \log g \leq$ 5.0, and $-5.0 \leq$ [Fe/H] \leq -2.0. The NLTE corrections for Zn I, Zn II, Sr II, and Ba II have been calculated for the first time for such a broad atmospheric parameter range. Compared to the data available in the literature, our NLTE corrections for lines of Ca I, Ca II, Zn II, Sr II, and Ba II are based on accurate treatment of collisions with H I atoms in the SE calculations.

In the same model atmosphere, the NLTE abundance corrections may have different magnitude and sign for lines of the same chemical species, for example $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = 0.092 \text{ dex} (\text{Mg I} 5528 \text{ Å}) \text{ and } \Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = -0.083 \text{ dex} (\text{Mg I} 5172 \text{ Å})$ in the 4500/1.5/-3.5 model. Accounting for the NLTE effects in stellar abundance determinations is expected to improve an accuracy of the obtained results.

In the same model atmosphere, the NLTE abundance corrections may have different magnitude and sign for lines of different chemical species, for example, $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = -0.222 \text{ dex}$ (Na I 5895 Å) and $\Delta_{\text{NLTE}} = 0.092 \text{ dex}$ (Mg I 5528 Å) in the 4500/1.5/–3.5 model. Therefore, an appropriate treatment of the line formation is obligatory for the studies based on analysis of the stellar element abundance patterns.

For all spectral lines and chemical species, the NLTE corrections depend on metallicity. Neglecting the NLTE effects in stellar abundance determinations leads to distorted galactic abundance trends and incorrect conclusions on the GCE.

We show that, for common spectral lines and the same atmospheric parameters, independent NLTE studies of Na I, Mg I, and Fe I predict consistent 1D-NLTE abundance corrections, with the difference of 0.01-0.02 dex in Δ_{NLTE} .

The obtained results are publicly available. At the website INASAN_NLTE (http://spectrum.inasan.ru/nLTE2/), we provide the tools for calculating online the NLTE abundance correction(s) for given line(s) and given atmospheric parameters.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has made use of the data from the European Space Agency (ESA) mission Gaia,⁸ processed by the Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium⁹. This research has made use of the MARCS and ADS¹⁰ data bases. LM thanks the Russian Science Foundation (grant 23-12-00134) for a partial support of this study (sections 1, 2, 4, and 5). TS acknowledges a partial support (section 3) from the MK project, grant 5127.2022.1.2.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All our results are publicly available at the website INASAN_NLTE (http://spectrum.inasan.ru/nLTE2/).

REFERENCES

Ahumada R. et al., 2020, ApJS, 249, 3

⁸https://www.cosmos.esa.int/gaia

⁹https://www.cosmos.esa.int/web/gaia/dpac/consortium

¹⁰http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abstract_service.html

- Alexeeva S., Pakhomov Y., Mashonkina L., 2014, Astron. Lett., 40, 406
- Amarsi A. M., Asplund M., 2017, MNRAS, 464, 264
- Amarsi A. M., Asplund M., Collet R., Leenaarts J., 2016a, MNRAS, 455, 3735
- Amarsi A. M., Lind K., Asplund M., Barklem P. S., Collet R., 2016b, MNRAS, 463, 1518
- Amarsi A. M., Barklem P. S., Asplund M., Collet R., Zatsarinny O., 2018, A&A, 616, A89
- Amarsi A. M., Barklem P. S., Collet R., Grevesse N., Asplund M., 2019, A&A, 624, A111
- Amarsi A. M., Grevesse N., Grumer J., Asplund M., Barklem P. S., Collet R., 2020a, A&A, 636, A120
- Amarsi A. M. et al., 2020b, A&A, 642, A62
- Amarsi A. M., Liljegren S., Nissen P. E., 2022, A&A, 668, A68
- Bailer-Jones C. A. L., 2015, PASP, 127, 994
- Barklem P. S., 2018, A&A, 612, A90
- Barklem P. S., Belyaev A. K., Dickinson A. S., Gadéa F. X., 2010, A&A, 519 A20
- Barklem P. S., Belyaev A. K., Spielfiedel A., Guitou M., Feautrier N., 2012, A&A, 541, A80
- Beers T. C., Christlieb N., 2005, ARA&A, 43, 531
- Beers T. C., Preston G. W., Shectman S. A., 1985, AJ, 90, 2089
- Beers T. C., Preston G. W., Shectman S. A., 1992, AJ, 103, 1987
- Belyaev A. K., Yakovleva S. A., 2018, MNRAS, 478, 3952
- Belyaev A. K., Voronov Y. V., Yakovleva S. A., Mitrushchenkov A., Guitou M., Feautrier N., 2017, ApJ, 851, 59
- Belyaev A. K., Voronov Y. V., Yakovleva S. A., 2019, Phys. Rev. A, 100, 062710
- Bergemann M., Lind K., Collet R., Magic Z., Asplund M., 2012a, MNRAS, 427.27
- Bergemann M., Hansen C. J., Bautista M., Ruchti G., 2012b, A&A, 546, A90
- Bergemann M., Collet R., Amarsi A. M., Kovalev M., Ruchti G., Magic Z., 2017, ApJ, 847, 15
- Bergemann M. et al., 2019, A&A, 631, A80
- Bessell M. S., Castelli F., Plez B., 1998, A&A, 333, 231
- Bruls J. H. M. J., Rutten R. J., Shchukina N. G., 1992, A&A, 265, 237
- Butler K., 1984, PhD thesis, Univ. London
- Christlieb N., Wisotzki L., Graßhoff G., 2002, A&A, 391, 397
- Cohen J. G., Christlieb N., Thompson I., McWilliam A., Shectman S., Reimers D., Wisotzki L., Kirby E., 2013, ApJ, 778, 56
- Dalton G. et al., 2014, in Ramsay S. K., McLean I. S., Takami H., eds, SPIE Conf. Ser., Vol. 9147, Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy V. SPIE Astronomical Telescopes + Instrumentation, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, p. 91470L,

de Jong R. S. et al., 2019, The Messenger, 175, 3

- Deng L.-C. et al., 2012, Res. Astron. Astrophys., 12, 735
- Dotter A., Chaboyer B., Jevremović D., Kostov V., Baron E., Ferguson J. W., 2008, ApJS, 178, 89
- Drawin H. W., 1969, Z. Phys., 225, 483
- Frebel A., Norris J. E., 2015, ARA&A, 53, 631
- Gaia Collaboration, 2016, A&A, 595, A2
- Gallagher A. J., Bergemann M., Collet R., Plez B., Leenaarts J., Carlsson M., Yakovleva S. A., Belyaev A. K., 2020, A&A, 634, A55
- Gerber J. M., Magg E., Plez B., Bergemann M., Heiter U., Olander T., Hoppe R., 2023, A&A, 669, A43
- Giddings J., 1981, PhD thesis, Univ. London
- Gustafsson B., Edvardsson B., Eriksson K., Jorgensen U. G., Nordlund Å., Plez B., 2008, A&A, 486, 951
- Kaulakys B., 1991, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Phys., 24, L127
- Keller S. C. et al., 2007, PASA, 24, 1
- Kobayashi C., Karakas A. I., Lugaro M., 2020, ApJ, 900, 179
- Korotin S. A., Andrievsky S. M., Hansen C. J., Caffau E., Bonifacio P., Spite M., Spite F., François P., 2015, A&A, 581, A70

- Lind K. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 468, 4311
- Lind K. et al., 2022, A&A, 665, A33
- Lindegren L. et al., 2021, A&A, 649, A4
- Majewski S. R. et al., 2017, AJ, 154, 94
- Mashonkina L., 2013, A&A, 550, A28
- Mashonkina L. I., Belyaev A. K., 2019, Astron. Lett., 45, 341
- Mashonkina L., Gehren T., 2001, A&A, 376, 232
- Mashonkina L. I., Shimanskaya N. N., Sakhibullin N. A., 1996, Astron. Rep., 40.187
- Mashonkina L., Gehren T., Bikmaev I., 1999, A&A, 343, 519
- Mashonkina L., Korn A. J., Przybilla N., 2007, A&A, 461, 261
- Mashonkina L., Gehren T., Shi J.-R., Korn A. J., Grupp F., 2011, A&A, 528, A87
- Mashonkina L., Jablonka P., Pakhomov Y., Sitnova T., North P., 2017a, A&A, 604 A129
- Mashonkina L., Sitnova T., Belyaev A. K., 2017b, A&A, 605, A53
- Mashonkina L., Jablonka P., Sitnova T., Pakhomov Y., North P., 2017c, A&A, 608, A89
- Mashonkina L., Sitnova T., Yakovleva S. A., Belyaev A. K., 2019, A&A, 631. A43
- Mashonkina L., Pakhomov Y. V., Sitnova T., Jablonka P., Yakovleva S. A., Belyaev A. K., 2022, MNRAS, 509, 3626
- Merle T., Thévenin F., Pichon B., Bigot L., 2011, MNRAS, 418, 863
- Mott A., Steffen M., Caffau E., Strassmeier K. G., 2020, A&A, 638, A58
- Neretina M. D., Mashonkina L. I., Sitnova T. M., Yakovleva S. A., Belyaev A. K., 2020, Astron. Lett., 46, 621
- Nordlander T., Amarsi A. M., Lind K., Asplund M., Barklem P. S., Casey A. R., Collet R., Leenaarts J., 2017, A&A, 597, A6
- Piskunov N., Valenti J. A., 2017, A&A, 597, A16
- Reggiani H. et al., 2019, A&A, 627, A177
- Sakhibullin N. A., 1983, Trudy Kazanskaia Gorodkoj Astronomicheskoj Observatorii, 48, 9
- Sitnova T. et al., 2015, ApJ, 808, 148
- Sitnova T. M., Mashonkina L. I., Ryabchikova T. A., 2016, MNRAS, 461, 1000
- Sitnova T. M., Mashonkina L. I., Ezzeddine R., Frebel A., 2019, MNRAS, 485, 3527
- Sitnova T. M., Yakovleva S. A., Belyaev A. K., Mashonkina L. I., 2022, MNRAS, 515, 1510
- Starkenburg E. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 471, 2587
- Steenbock W., Holweger H., 1984, A&A, 130, 319
- Steffen M., Prakapavičius D., Caffau E., Ludwig H.-G., Bonifacio P., Cayrel R., Kučinskas A., Livingston W. C., 2015, A&A, 583, A57
- Steinmetz M. et al., 2006, AJ, 132, 1645
- Suda T. et al., 2008, PASJ, 60, 1159
- Takeda Y., Hashimoto O., Taguchi H., Yoshioka K., Takada-Hidai M., Saito Y., Honda S., 2005, PASJ, 57, 751
- Tsymbal V., Ryabchikova T., Sitnova T., 2019, in Kudryavtsev D. O., Romanyuk I. I., Yakunin I. A., eds, ASP Conf. Ser., Vol. 518, Physics of Magnetic Stars. Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, p. 247
- Venn K. A. et al., 2020, MNRAS, 492, 3241
- Wang E. X., Nordlander T., Asplund M., Amarsi A. M., Lind K., Zhou Y.,
- Woosley S., Trimble V., Thielemann F.-K., 2019, Phys. Today, 72, 36
- Yakovleva S. A., Belyaev A. K., Mashonkina L. I., 2022, Atoms, 10, 33
- Yanny B. et al., 2009, AJ, 137, 4377
- Youakim K. et al., 2017, MNRAS, 472, 2963
- Zhao G. et al., 2016, ApJ, 833, 225

This paper has been typeset from a TEX/LATEX file prepared by the author.

2021, MNRAS, 500, 2159